

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vinginia 22313-1450 www.upto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/687,794	10/12/2000	Matthew Parrish	10002096-1	8526
22879	7590 07/18/2003			
HEWLETT PACKARD COMPANY P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION FOR COLUMN CO. 20527 2400			EXAMINER	
			DUONG, OANH L	
FOR I COLLI	NS, CO 80527-2400		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2155	
			DATE MAILED: 07/18/2003	<u>ے</u>

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

7

•	•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/687,794	PARRISH ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Oanh L. Duong	2155			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur - Any r	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA- sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor to to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, the set of extended period for reply will be set of extended peri	FION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a tition. ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of the y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC by statute, cause the application to become A	a reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on 30 June 2003 .				
2a) <u>□</u>	•	This action is non-final.				
3)□	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
· .	on of Claims	:#!				
, -	Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the appl					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
-	Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected.					
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction on Papers	and/or election requirement.				
9)[] 7	The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority doc	uments have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority doc	uments have been received in A	Application No			
	 Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation ee the attached detailed Office action for 	nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
14) <u></u> A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for de	omestic priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).			
15) 🗌 A	The translation of the foreign languation of the foreign languation of the foreign languation.					
Attachment		∧ □ 1-4-	Cummer (DTO 442) Barran Nata			
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper	(48) 5) Notice of	v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
J.S. Patent and Tr. PTO-326 (Rev		ffice Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 5			

Art Unit: 2155

Claims 1-21 are presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

1. Claims 1-5, 8-9, 12, 14-17 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by (Kirsch) (US 6,466,966 B1).

Regarding claims 1, 9 and 21, Kirsch teaches a method for tracking the use of a web tool by a web user (e.g., see col. 5 lines 8-27), comprising providing a web user through a web user computer access to a web tool (e.g., see col. 1 lines 52-56); transmitting to the web user computer one or more web page files in connection with the user accessing the web tool (e.g., see col. 1 lines 56-64); inserting within at least one of the web page files, using the web tool, a command having embedded user information

Art Unit: 2155

associated with the web user's use of the web tool (e.g., col. 6 lines 38-61 and col. 8 lines 19-45), the command causing the web user computer to transmit directly to a server, designated within the command, a request that includes the embedded user information (e.g., see abstract, col. 7 lines 6-23 and col. 8 lines 19-40), wherein user information is stored in a database in response to the request being processed by a designated server (e.g., see col. 11 lines 4-45).

Regarding claims 2, 3 and 12, Kirsch teaches the command comprises mark up language image tag with the user information embedded in a URL (e.g., see col. 12 lines 5-15 and col. 8 lines 19-40).

Regarding claim 4, Kirsch teaches web tool is provided to a plurality of web users with each user receiving at least one web page having a command with embedded user information, wherein the user information for each user is transmitted to the designated server (e.g., see abstract, col. 7 lines 6-23 and col. 8 lines 19-40)

Regarding claim 5, Kirsch teaches user information is stored in a file within the designated server (e.g., see col. 11 lines 39-45).

Regarding claim 8, Kirsch teaches inserting the command in the at least one web page file so that it causes no error graphic to be displayed to the user in response to an image file designated with the command not being retrieved (e.g., see col.8 line 63-22).

Regarding claim 14, Kirsch teaches a module for storing the user information in the database (e.g., see col. 11 lines 39-45).

Regarding claim 15, Kirsch discloses an image tag that is implemented with mark-up language tag (e.g., see col. 12 lines 1-15).

Art Unit: 2155

Regarding claim 16, Kirsch discloses HTML image tag (e.g., see col. 12 lines 13-14).

Regarding claim 17, Kirsch teaches Web tool server and designated server are implemented in the same server (e.g., see col. 5 lines 11-27).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 6, 7, 10, 11, 13 and, 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kirsch in view of Morimoto at al (Morimoto) (US 6,397,244).

Regarding claims 6, 7, 11 and 13, Kirsch teaches the request is a request for retrieving an image file from the designated server (e.g. see col. 8 lines 36-40 and col. 8 line 63-col. 9 line12). Kirsch does not explicitly teach an Internet program as claimed. However, Morimoto teaches an Internet program with an error log (see col.5 lines 12-49 and col. 8 lines 27-45). Therefore, it would have been obvious to have used the program in Kirsch as taught by Morimoto because such program would enable error analysis information to be utilized for analysis of the cause of an error upon occurrence of error.

Regarding claim 10, Kirsch does not explicitly teach database as claimed.

However, Morimoto teaches database is an error log (see fig. 1 col. 5 lines 12-15).

Art Unit: 2155

Therefore, it would have been obvious to have used database in Kirsch as taught by Morimoto because such database would provide the data file for storing error analysis information to be utilized for analysis of the cause of an error upon occurrence of error.

Regarding claims 18, Kirsch teaches a web tool system having capacity of tracking a user's use of a web tool (e.g., see col. 5 lines 8-27), comprising a web tool server communicatively linked to a web user computer for providing a web user with access to a web tool (e.g., see col. 1 lines 52-56), the web tool server having a web tool program for generating and providing to the web user computer one or more web page files in connection with the web user engaging a session with the web tool (e.g., see col. 1 lines 56-64); and a user information database server commutatively linked to the designated server (see fig. 2), wherein the web tool program inserts into at least one of the web page files a command having embedded user information associated with the web user's use of the web tool (e.g., col. 6 lines 38-61 and col. 8 lines 19-45), the command causing the web user computer to transmit to a server, designated within the command, a request that includes the embedded user information (e.g., see abstract, col. 7 lines 6-23 and col. 8 lines 19-40), wherein the user information is stored in a database in response to the request being processed by the designated server (e.g., see col. 11 lines 4-45). Kirsch does not explicitly teach a web server with an error log. However Morimoto teaches a web server with an error log (see col. 5 lines 12-15), Therefore, it would have been obvious to have used web server with an error log in Kirsch as taught by Morimoto because such an error log would provide the data file for

Page 6

storing error analysis information to be utilized for analysis of the cause of an error upon occurrence of error.

Regarding claim 19, Kirsch discloses transferring user information into the user information database (e.g., see col. 9 lines 8-12 and col. 11 lines 39-45).

Regarding claim 20, Kirsch teaches image tag (e.g., see col. 12 lines 13-14).

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Oanh L. Duong whose telephone number is (703) 305-0295. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday- Friday, 8:00AM - 5:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hosain T. Alam can be reached on (703) 308-6662. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 746-7239 for regular communications and (703) 746-7238 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

O.D

July 15, 2003

HOSAIN T. ALAM
PRIMARY EXAMINER